### Part A

### **Initial Impact Assessment**

**Proposal name** 

Safeguarding Adults Update and Delivery Plan

### Brief aim(s) of the proposal and the outcome(s) you want to achieve

The Adult Health and Social Care Strategy 'Living the Life You Want to Live' made a commitment towards improving outcomes for adults from abuse and neglect and enabling a shift towards prevention of harm. An adult safeguarding delivery plan has been developed including key milestones to outline how that commitment will be achieved.

The delivery plan outlines ways of working that incorporate the six principles of safeguarding as outlined in the Care Act. Making Safeguarding Personal and strengthsbased approaches.

Care Act principles of safeguarding:

- Empowerment
  - People being supported and encouraged to make their own decisions and informed consent
- Prevention
  - It is better to take action before harm occurs.
- Proportionality
  - The least intrusive response appropriate to the risk presented.
- Protection
  - Support and representation for those in greatest need. •
- Partnership
  - Local solutions through services working with their communities. Communities have a part to play in preventing, detecting and reporting neglect and abuse.
- Accountability
  - Accountability and transparency in safeguarding practice

Making Safeguarding Personal involves respecting the views of vulnerable people. It means that when practitioners are working with a person where safeguarding processes are necessary, that we take the time to listen and understand and support their wishes and desired outcomes.

The delivery plan is organised into four themes as shown below, along with examples of some of the milestones under each theme.

- Leadership and governance
  - Commission a thematic and benchmarking review of Safeguarding Adult Referrals (SAR), Domestic Homicide Reviews (DHR), Deprivation of Liberty (DoLS), to establish areas for learning and improvement
  - Review current Safeguarding Adult Referral process to ensure in line with benchmark and best practice and take learning and recommendations to the Safeguarding Board.
- Outcomes and experiences
  - Safeguarding Waiting list reduced to acceptable risk levels
  - Embed learning from thematic review of SAR, DHR, DoLS into practice
- Providing support
  - robust arrangements for identifying early indicators of concern, preventing abuse and neglect, preventing poor outcomes through lack of care continuity, and responding to safeguarding in regulated care environments.

- effective multi agency arrangements in place to effectively screen and respond to Safeguarding via Hub
- Confident practice
  - Establish a safeguarding adult learning and development framework for safeguarding and implementation arrangements so that all staff have completed relevant minimum standards of safeguarding training.

The ambition is that adults in need of care and support live safely and well free from abuse and neglect

#### Proposal type

• Budget O Non Budget

#### If Budget, is it Entered on Q Tier?

○ Yes ○ No

If yes what is the Q Tier reference

### Year of proposal (s)

○ 21/22 ● 23/23 ○ 23/24 ○ 24/25 ○ other

### **Decision Type**

- Coop Exec
- Committee (e.g. Health Committee)
- $\bigcirc$  Leader
- Individual Coop Exec Member
- Executive Director/Director
- Officer Decisions (Non-Key)
- Council (e.g. Budget and Housing Revenue Account)
- Regulatory Committees (e.g. Licensing Committee)

Lead Committee Member

Cllr Angela Argenzio & Cllr George Lindars-Hammond

#### Lead Director for Proposal

Alexis Chappell

#### Person filling in this EIA form

Jenna Tait

### **EIA start date**

01/09/2022

Page 124

### **Equality Lead Officer**

- $\odot~$  Adele Robinson
- O Annemarie Johnston
- O Bashir Khan

- Beverley Law
- Ed Sexton
- O Louise Nunn

### Lead Equality Objective (see for detail)

Understanding Communities	<ul> <li>Workforce</li> <li>Diversity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Leading the city in celebrating &amp; promoting inclusion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Break the cycle and improve life chances</li> </ul>
---------------------------	--	---	--

### Portfolio, Service and Team

Is this Cross-Portfolio	Portfolio	
O Yes ● No	People	
Is the EIA joint with another organi ○ Yes ● No Please	. 2	
ConsultationIs consultation required (Real $\odot$ Yes $\bigcirc$ Yes $\bigcirc$ No	d the guidance in	relation to this area)
If consultation is not required	l please state wh	у
Are Staff who may be affected ● Yes O No	l by these propos	sals aware of them
Are Customers who may be at	ffected by these	proposals aware of them

omers who ○ Yes No

If you have said no to either please say why

### **Initial Impact**

Under the <u>Public Sector Equality Duty</u> we have to pay due regard to the need to:

- eliminate discrimination, harassment and victimisation
- advance equality of opportunity
- foster good relations

For a range of people who share protected characteristics, more information is available on the <u>Council website</u> including the <u>Community Knowledge Profiles</u>.

### **Identify Impacts**

#### Identify which characteristic the proposal has an impact on tick all that apply

Health	O Transgender
● Age	O Carers
<ul> <li>Disability</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Voluntary/Community &amp; Faith Sectors</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Pregnancy/Maternity</li> </ul>	O Cohesion
• Race	O Partners
<ul> <li>Religion/Belief</li> </ul>	O Poverty & Financial Inclusion
• Sex	O Armed Forces
<ul> <li>Sexual Orientation</li> </ul>	O Other
O Cumulative	

### **Cumulative Impact**

#### Does the Proposal have a cumulative impact

○ Yes ○ No

O Year on Year	<ul> <li>Across a Community of Identity/Interest</li> </ul>
O Geographical Area	O Other

If yes, details of impact

#### Proposal has geographical impact across Sheffield O Yes • No

If Yes, details of geographical impact across Sheffield

#### Local Area Committee Area(s) impacted

• All O Specific

If Specific, name of Local Committee Area(s) impacted

### Initial Impact Overview

# Based on the information about the proposal what will the overall equality impact?

The proposal is consistent with the legal requirements placed on local authorities in section 149(1) of the Equality Act 2010, and the overall impact is expected to be positive. The delivery plan aims to develop a more efficient and person-centred approach and to ensure citizens' voices and experiences help to inform and develop the processes.

The nature and purpose of Adult Health & Social Care means that people sharing the protected characteristics of Age and/or Disability will be directly impacted by the proposals. However, the safeguarding remit means that people sharing certain other protected characteristics (e.g. Sex, Race) may also be particularly affected.

There is currently no indication of any disproportionate impact for staff at SCC and it's partner agencies.

Is a Full impact Assessment required at this stage? • Yes

O No

If the impact is more than minor, in that it will impact on a particular protected characteristic you must complete a full impact assessment below.

EIAs must be agreed and signed off by the Equality lead Officer in your Portfolio or corporately. Has this been signed off?

O Yes

0 **No** 

Date agreed

02/09/2022

Name of EIA lead officer

Ed Sexton

## Part B

## Full Impact Assessment

Health		
	sal have a significant i ts on the wider detern	mpact on health and well-being ninants of health)?
• Yes O No	o <i>if Yes, complet</i>	e section below
Staff ● Yes O N	Customers No ● Yes	O No
Details of impac	t	
Sheffield, to enable that adults in need neglect. Delivery impact on the he The delivery plan working in adult a simpler, improvin	ble a shift towards preve d of care and support live of the milestones outlin alth and wellbeing of add includes milestones tha health and social care. If ng multi agency joint wo	n it to improve outcomes for adults in ntion of harm and ultimately to ensure safely and well free from abuse and ed in the plan should achieve a positive ults at risk. t should have a positive impact on staff Reducing waiting lists, making processes rking and an improved learning and to improve the experience of staff.
Comprehensive	Health Impact Assess	ment being completed
O Yes ● No	-	
Please attach hea	lth impact assessment a	s a supporting document below.
Public Health Le	ads has signed off the	e health impact(s) of this EIA
$\circ$ Yes $\circ$ N		
Name of Health Lead Officer		

A	g	e
---	---	---

Impact on Staff● Yes○ No

Impact on Customers● Yes○ No

#### Details of impact

The aim of the safeguarding delivery plan it to improve outcomes for adults in Sheffield, to enable a shift towards prevention of harm and ultimately to ensure that adults in need of care and support live safely and well free from abuse and neglect. Delivery of the milestones outlined in the plan should achieve a positive impact on the health and wellbeing of adults at risk in Sheffield

Table 1 in the evidence section illustrates that the majority of safeguarding enquiries completed are for older adults i.e. those in age groups of 60 and older. As a result it is anticipated that the delivery plan will have a positive impact on older adults in Sheffield. However, safeguarding referrals are received in adult social care about adults of all ages.

Table 1 also highlights that safeguarding referrals received that relate to older adults are more likely to be progressed to a safeguarding enquiry than those for younger adults. However a high number of safeguarding referrals are also received for younger age groups, which suggests that there are potentially adults whose circumstances do not meet the statutory criteria for a safeguarding enquiry but who are in need of some support. The improvement of the prevention model and multiagency working included in the delivery plan is expected to achieve a positive impact for these adults.

(could include some stats on age of health and social care workforce i.e. predominantly older so anticipated positive impact?)

### Disability

Impact on StaffO YesO No

Impact on Customers● Yes○ No

#### Details of impact

The aim of the safeguarding delivery plan it to improve outcomes for adults in Sheffield, to enable a shift towards prevention of harm and ultimately to ensure that adults in need of care and support live safely and well free from abuse and neglect. Delivery of the milestones outlined in the plan should achieve a positive impact on the health and wellbeing of adults at risk.

(Include some data on disabilities within adults?)

regnancy/N	<b>4aternity</b>	,
<b>mpact on Sta</b> Yes C	ff No	Impact on Customers O Yes O No
Details of imp	act	
ace		
mpact on Sta	) No	Impact on CustomersO YesO No
Sheffield, to en that adults in ne neglect. Delive	e safeguardin nable a shift eed of care a ery of the m	ng delivery plan it to improve outcomes for adults in t towards prevention of harm and ultimately to ensure and support live safely and well free from abuse and illestones outlined in the plan should achieve a positive es who may be at risk.
referrals receive thnicities. In	ved and safe 20% of cas sefulness of	ection illustrates the variation in numbers of safeguarding eguarding enquiries completed for people of different ses there is no record of a person's ethnicity which the data and highlights an improvement required in the

### **Religion/Belief**

Impact on StaffOYesONo

Impact on Customers○ Yes○ No

**Details of impact** 

9	Sex				
	Impact on S ● Yes		Impact or ● Yes	On Customers O No	
	Details of in	•	staff i e more	e staff in AHSC are female? Improved	
			sitive impact)		

Sexual Orientation					
Impact o		Impact of O Yes	n Customers O No		
Details o	f impact				

Gender R	Gender Reassignment (Transgender)			
Impact or		•	n Customers	
○ Yes	○ No	○ Yes	Ο Νο	
Details of	impact			

Carers			
Impact on Staff		•	n Customers
○ Yes	○ No	• Yes	0 NO
Details of	fimpact		
Sheffield, that adult neglect.	, to enable a s s in need of ca Delivery of the n the health a	hift towards protection in the second support in the second support in the second seco	plan it to improve outcomes for adults in revention of harm and ultimately to ensure live safely and well free from abuse and utlined in the plan should achieve a positive f adults at risk, including their carers (formal

Poverty & Financial Inclusion								
Impact on ○ Yes	Staff O No	Impact on Customers O Yes O No						
Please exp	Please explain the impact							

Cohesior	ו		
Staff O Yes	○ No	Customer O Yes	s ○ No
Details of	fimpact		

Partners	
Impact on	
○ Yes	○ No
Impact on O Yes C	Customers
Details of	impact

Impact on C	ustomers	
○ Yes	$\circ$ No	
	U Yes	O fes O No

Other			
Please spe	cify		
Impact of O Yes	n Staff O No	Impact o O Yes	n Customers O No
Details of	impact		

## **Action Plan and Supporting Evidence**

### What actions will you take, please include an Action Plan including timescales

- April 23: Complete further analysis to explore the differences identified within ethnicities and understand these further with a view to developing a more detailed action plan if required.
- Revise this document at 6 month intervals in line with the proposed timescale for updates on the delivery plan to committee, or sooner where any significant changes are made to the delivery plan.

	Contacts		Epi	sodes	Enquiries			
Age range	No	% of all contacts	No	% of all episodes	No	% of all enquiries	% of age range contacts that become an enquiry	
18-29	1398	16%	673	12%	158	9%	11%	
30-39	1304	15%	602	11%	128	7%	10%	
40-49	1182	14%	549	10%	109	6%	9%	
50-59	1152	13%	644	12%	177	10%	15%	
60-69	895	10%	650	12%	207	12%	23%	
70-79	900	10%	780	14%	285	16%	32%	
80-89	1183	14%	1047	19%	426	25%	36%	
90-99	619	7%	565	10%	237	14%	38%	
100+	59	1%	44	1%	11	1%	19%	
Total	8692	100%	5554	100%	1738	100%	20%	

Table 1: Safeguarding contacts April 21 – March 22 by age group

Table 2: Safeguarding contacts April 21 – March 22 by sex

	Contact		Episode		Enquiry			
Sex	No	% of all contacts	No	% of all episodes	No	% of all enquiries	% of sex contacts that become an enquiry	
Female	4954	57%	3339	60%	1036	60%	21%	
Male	3738	43%	2215	40%	702	40%	19%	
Total	8692	100%	5554	100%	1738	100%	20%	

Table 3: Safeguarding contacts April 21 – March 22 by ethnicity

See table on last page of document

Detail any changes made as a result of the EIA

Following mitigation is there still significant risk of impact on a protected characteristic. ○ Yes ● No

### If yes, the EIA will need corporate escalation? Please explain below

Sign Off							
		ned off by the Equality this been signed off?	lead Officer in your				
○ Yes	○ No						
Date agreed	02/09/2022	of EIA lead officer	Ed Sexton				

Review Date	01/03/2023
Review Date	01/03/2023

	Co	ntact	Ep	isode		Enquiry		
Ethnicity	No.	% of all contacts	No.	% of all episodes	No.	% of all enquiries	% of ethnicity contacts that become an enquiry	
Asian or Asian British - Other	224	2.6%	124	2.2%	24	1.4%	10.7%	
Asian or Asian British - Pakistani	190	2.2%	118	2.1%	36	2.1%	18.9%	
Black or Black British - African	126	1.4%	65	1.2%	17	1.0%	13.5%	
Black or Black British - Caribbean	99	1.1%	70	1.3%	25	1.4%	25.3%	
Black or Black British - Other Black Background	104	1.2%	44	0.8%	8	0.5%	7.7%	
₩ixed/Multiple Heritage	91	1.0%	35	0.6%	14	0.8%	15.4%	
Sot known / undeclared / refused	1761	20.3%	1138	20.5%	280	16.1%	15.9%	
<b>O</b> ther Ethnic Group	79	0.9%	44	0.8%	15	0.9%	19.0%	
₩hite - Giglish/Welsh/Scottish/British/Northern Irish	5793	66.6%	3794	68.3%	1290	74.2%	22.3%	
White - Other White Background	225	2.6%	122	2.2%	29	1.7%	12.9%	
Total	8692	100.0%	5554	100.0%	1738	100.0%	20.0%	